

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/810,523	03/26/2004	Stephen J. Prater	9006.36001	1198
21000	7590 03/10/2006		EXAMINER	
DECKER, JONES, MCMACKIN, MCCLANE, HALL &			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
BATES, P.C.			ADTIBUT	DADED AND OFF
BURNETT PLAZA 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
801 CHERRY STREET, UNIT #46			3634	
FORT WORT	ГН, ТХ 76102-6836		DATE MAIL ED. 02/10/200	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,523	PRATER, STEPHEN J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	*Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 			
Application Papers		,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application received in Application received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
S Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/810,523 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The preamble of the claims states that only the lift apparatus is being claimed, the positive limitation of the engine suggests that a combination of the lift apparatus is being claimed, thus rendering the claim indefinite as it is unclear what is boundary of the invention being sought.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Osborn.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 4-6,8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osborn. To make the opening of Osborn as a circular opening, would have been an obvious mechanical expediency is such a construction is desired, and to provide a similar shaped beam at the border of the opening with such a beam held by support structure members, as set forth in claim 6, would have been to one of ordinary skill in the art as such constructions are conventional to provide a reinforcing support to the edge of an opening. To accommodate a conventional engine through the opening of Osborn, as other articles, would have been an obvious mechanical expediency.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Osborn in view of Hiyama. Osborn shows the claimed lift with the exception of the filler pates. Hiyama shows filler plates at 150 for adjusting the size of an opening.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the opening of Osborn with filler plates, as taught by Hiyama, to enable adjustment of the size of the opening.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 571-272-6828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/810,523

Art Unit: 3634

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Examiner

Art Unit 3634